Mail Folders: Inbox: Fw: Protection of Future Carlisle Residents Re Brem Proposed 40B Page 1 of 2

Date: Thu, 1 Dec 2016 14:50:40 +0000 [12/01/2016 09:50:40 AM EST] Brem\_335\_12.01.2016

From: Mike Hanauer <mghanauer@yahoo.com>

To: "Brown, Nathan" <nathancbrown@gmail.com>, Luke Ascolillo <luke.ascolillo@comcast.net>, Bill Risso <Chriswilliam@comcast.net>, Claude von Roesgen <claude@vonroesgen.com>, Kerry Kissinger <kerrykissinger@aol.com>, David Flannery <chief@carlislefdma.org>, Jonathan White <jjcwhite@comcast.net>, JJ Supple <jjsupple@comcast.net>, Rob Koning <Rob@koningelectric.com>, Matt Svatek <msvatek@comcast.net>, thornton ash <thorntonash@comcast.net>, Bob Zielinski <rjzielinski@hotmail.com>, Betsy Fell <bafeli@comcast.net>, Tim Goddard <tgoddard@carlisle.mec.edu>, Sylvia Willard <swillard@carlisle.mec.edu>, "zba@carlisle.mec.edu" <zba@carlisle.mec.edu>, Linda Fantasia <lfantasia@carlisle.mec.edu>

Cc: Mike Hanauer <mghanauer@yahoo.com>, "L. Lemaire" <norwaydawn@gmail.com>, Carlisle Mosquito <mail@carlislemosquito.org>

Subject: Fw: Protection of Future Carlisle Residents Re Brem Proposed 40B

Hi All,

I believe Lyn Lemaire's comments (see below) to be significant, and I would add the following:

Not only is the proposed development getting more dense and homes still closer together, but in our wooded neighborhood, and especially with the likelihood of increased dry periods, plus all these new dwellings being heated with on-site stored propane, adequate water on site is a must. Further, not having a cistern offers no protection to the remainder of the neighborhood or the nearby Davis Corridor.

I believe it must be asked if, especially considering these conditions, the development as planned can be considered safe under any circumstances.

Thank You for your consideration.

AllTheBest.

Mike Hanauer 200 Long Ridge Road

## ~Mike

From: "L. Lemaire" <norwaydawn@gmail.com>
Subject: Protection of Future Carlisle Residents
Date: November 30, 2016 at 11:59:21 AM EST

To: "Brown, Nathan" <nathancbrown@gmail.com>, Luke Ascolillo <luke.ascolillo@comcast.net>, Bill Risso <Chriswilliam@comcast.net>, Claude von Roesgen <claude@vonroesgen.com>, Kerry Kissinger <kerrykissinger@aol.com>, David Flannery <chief@carlislefdma.org>, Jonathan White <jjcwhite@comcast.net>, JJ Supple <jjsupple@comcast.net>, Rob Koning <Rob@koningelectric.com>, Matt Svatek <msvatek@comcast.net>, thornton ash <thorntonash@comcast.net>, Bob Zielinski <rjzielinski@hotmail.com>, Betsy Fell <br/>bafell@comcast.net>

Dear Members of the Board of Selectmen:

Jeff Brem made an offer to the ZBA on Monday night. If the Board (ZBA) would overlook the essential need for a reliable and sufficient water supply in the event of a fire - a 45,000 gallon cistern - he might not appeal a condition that required sprinklers. The offer should be rejected - immediately and forcefully.

The ZBA has a essential responsibility to protect the health and safety of potential occupants of this 40B project. While the state agency ("HAC") has a reputation of favoring developers, even this body will turn down a proposal that puts the occupants at risk. The potential residents of this project are not present at the public hearing, and the ordinary bylaws and authorities are not automatically in place. Consequently, it is vital that the Board stand firm against threats of litigation.

It is unthinkable that a permit could be issued for this project, with 20 large houses, that under the changed plan puts the structures much closer together than the first proposal, *without* requiring a sufficient water supply. Let us join together as a town and as a community to stand behind and with Chief Flannery in order that he can feel our support for requiring the 45,000 gallon cistern so necessary to protect future occupants from danger to property and to life.

Thank you for anything the Selectmen can do to assure Chief Flannery that the town will stand behind the requirement for a 45,000 gallon cistern.

cc: Chief Flannery and Fire Department Officers; Thornton Ash (for forwarding to abutters)

Brem\_325\_12.01.2016